

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/774,780	•	02/09/2004	Gregory D. Aviza	00216-674001 / Case 8144	8854	
	26161	7590	11/17/2006		EXAMINER		
	FISH & RI		SON PC	PETERSON, KENNETH E			
	P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,			3724		

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/774,780	AVIZA, GREGORY D.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kenneth E. Peterson	3724		

	Kenneth E. Peterson	3724							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 07 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.							
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compactoring time periods:	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
	a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 07/fi								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO	f, will <u>not</u> be entered l TE below);	pecause						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in began appeal; and/or		the issues for							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.							
NOTE: the claim amendments are new issues. (S	. , ,	•							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling								
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	rill be entered and an	explanation of							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 22,28,29,31-37.		٠.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	oot be entered s necessary						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provi showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).</li> </ol>									
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)									
•	1	KENNETH E. PETERS	ON ·						

PRIMARY EXAMINER